

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/677,805	Applicant(s) BEDINGHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian R. Gordon	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Brian R. Gordon.

(2) Kevin W. Raasch.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims of the amendment filed January 20, 2004.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A message was left for Mr. Raasch informing him that the filed amendment would be entered and the amended claims are being considered by the examiner

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/677,805	BEDINGHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian R. Gordon	1743	

All Participants:

(1) Brian R. Gordon.

(2) Kevin W. Raasch.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6,13,16,20 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner pointed out to applicant that the drafted claims were subject to 112 issues. In an effort to expedite the allowance of the claims the examiner suggest a proposed amendment to overcome the existing 112 issues and presented applicant with the opportunity to make an proposed amendments in the event that applicant did not agree with the amendment proposed by the examiner. Applicant refused to accept the proposed amendment suggested by the examiner and declined to make any suggested amendments to overcome the 112 issues..